PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL P	RELIMINARY	EXAMINING	AUTHORITY
			-

To: USUI, Shinichi

No.602, Fuji Bldg., 2-3,



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

Marunouchi 3-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0005	INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY				
Japan	(PCT Rule 66)				
	Date of mailing			<u> </u>	
	(day/month/year)	22. 6. 2004			
		within 2 month the above date of mailing		from	
International application No. International filing date	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/	year)		
PCT/JP03 /10700 25.08	.2003	28.08.	200	2	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classificat					
Applicant		•			
CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. The written opinion established by the International Se					
considered to be a written opinion of the International	is not	g Authority			
·	•	g to the following items:			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	ans maleations telatin	g to the following items.			
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				in.	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a) citations and explanations supporting s	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international app	olication				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.					
When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).					
How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.					
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.					
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.				on.	
4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 28.12.2004					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer		4	2026	
I Dad A OCC		,	4H	3036	

Authorized officer	4 H	3036
YUKO KIRA		3030
Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext.	3443	
	YUKO KIRA	4H



International application No.

PCT/JP 03 / 10700

Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
1	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
sheets	egard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this n as "originally filed."):
⊌	the international application as originally filed/furnished
	the description: pages as originally filed/furnished pages received by this Authority on pages received by this Authority on
	the claims: pages as originally filed/furnished pages as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages received by this Authority on pages received by this Authority on
	the drawings: pages as originally filed/furnished pages received by this Authority on pages received by this Authority on a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
4. []	the description, pages

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP03

/10700

Box No. V Reasoned statement u citations and explanat		6.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial appliting such statement	icability;
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	3-7	YES
	Claims	1,2,8	_ NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-8	_ YES
	Claims	1-0	_ NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8	YES
	Claims		NO
THE JOURNAL OF PH D2:JP 2000-273056 A(Ide D3:JP 2-190862 A(CANO No.1-23	YSICAL (emitsu Ko N KABU	ciplexes in Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence CHEMISTRY, 1980,Vol.84, No.19, p.2368-2374 osan Co. Ltd.)2000.10.03,Claims, [0017]-[0023] SHIKI KAISHA)1990.07.26,Claims, Compound SHIKI KAISHA)1999.05.26,[0036]	e. 2'
D5:JP 4-276760 A(HITAC D6:US 5422210 A(Canon	HI KASE Kabushi	EI KOGYO KABUSHIKI KAISHA)1992.10.01,Cla iki Kaisha)1995.06.06,Column 13-62	ims
D7:JP 11-184108 A(Cand D8:US 6387545 B1(Indus Claims	n Kabus trial Tecl	hiki Kaisha)1999.07.09,Claims, [0019]-[0022] hnology Research Institute)2002.03.14,Column	2-6,
D9:JP 1-278789 A(AGEN	CY OF II	ND SCIENCE & TECHNOL)1989.11.09, Claims	
	c Corpora	ation)1999.11.23,Claims, Column 10 No.(21), Co	olumn
11 No.(22)		TO FUM CO. LTD) 2004 07 47 Oleime	
		TO FILM CO., LTD) 2001.07.17, Claims RPORATION) 1997.09.12, Claims	
D13:WO 99/40655 A1(AV	ENTIS F	RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGIES GMBH & CO. F	(G)
1999.08.12, Claims		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
		ries, Inc.) 2002.01.11, Claims, p.7	
		RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGIES GMBH &	
CO.KG)1999.08.12,Claim	S		

(1)Novelty: Claim 1 Inventive step: Claim 1

The subject matter of claim 1 does not appear to be novel with respect to D1-9. The subject matter of claim 1 dose not appear to involve an inventive step with respect to D1-9.

Claims 1 related to a monoamino compound represented by the general formula [1] D1-9 disclose monoamino compounds which are regarded to fall within the definition the general formula [1] in the present Claim 1.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP03 /10700

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: V.2

(2) Novelty: Claims 2,8

Inventive step: Claims 2,8

The subject matter of claims 2,8 does not appear to be novel with respect to D1,2,8,9. The subject matter of claims 1,2,8 dose not appear to involve an inventive step with respect to D1,2,8,9.

Claim 2,8 related to an organic luminescence device using a monoamino compound represented by the general formula [1] in the present Claim 1.

D1,2,8,9 disclose monoamino compounds which are regarded to fall within the definition the general formula [1], and D1,2,8,9 also indicate that above-mentioned monoamino compounds are organic electroluminescent materials.

(3)Inventive Step: Claims 2,8

The subject matter of claims 2,8 dose not appear to involve an inventive step with respect to D3-7.

Claim 2,8 related to an organic luminescence device using a monoamino compound represented by the general formula [1] in the present Claim 1.

D3-7 disclose monoamino compounds which is regarded to fall within the definition the general formula [1], and D3-7 also indicate that above-mentioned monoamino compounds are charge-transporting materials

Using a charge-transporting material as an organic electroluminescent material is a common knowledge. Therefore, a person skilled in the art would easily realize the monoamino compounds disclosed in D3-7 are organic electroluminescent materials.

(4) Inventive Step: Claims 3-7

The subject matter of claims 3-7 dose not appear to involve an inventive step with respect to D1-15.

D1,2,8,9 disclose tertiary aromatic amines as organic electroluminescent materials. (see (2))

D3-7 disclose the use of tertiary aromatic amines as charge-transporting materials. As a charge-transporting material can be used as an organic electroluminescent material, a skilled person in the art would apply above-mentioned amines for organic electroluminescent materials. (see (3))

D10-15 disclose that polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds (D10: the formula (22), D11: the general formula (1), D12: FIG.1A-1G, D13: Example 3-6, D14: page7, D15: Claims) are electroluminescent materials. In particular, D10 discloses that tertiary aromatic amines are known to facilitate hole injection and hole transport, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds incorporate into a tertiary aromatic amine hole transporting layer.

Therefore, a skilled person in the art would easily conceive the idea of incorporating polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds disclosed in D10-15 with tertiary aromatic amines disclosed in D1-9.